



Even CDC Now Admits No ‘Gold Standard’ Of COVID19 Virus Isolate

Published on October 11, 2020

Written by John O'Sullivan



Damning CDC document confirms Principia Scientific International claims that there has NEVER been any successful laboratory test to isolate and confirm the existence of the SARS-COV2 virus, alleged to have caused the COVID19 pandemic. ***In effect, the science tells us there is NO virus!***

This week, former CBS Healthwatch reporter and author of [The Matrix Revealed](#) [Jon Rappaport](#) obtained the smoking gun from a telling document from the Center for Disease Control which admitted as much.

In one of their own documents they state quite clearly:

“Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA...”

As [Rappoport](#) says,

“Since that is the case, that there are no quantified virus isolates, how can one be sure of what is being determined as COVID-19 is, in fact, COVID-19?”

Principia Scientific International has led the way with this line of argument against the insane and unscientific lockdown policies which have effectively crippled the world economy.

Since the summer our own expert, [Saeed Qureshi PhD](#) published three damning articles (links below) exposing this missing key part of the science. [Dr Qureshi](#), a leading laboratory standards and testing expert who worked for *Health Canada* for many years, consistently argued on this key issue.

[Dr Qureshi](#)'s main point being that, if no one has isolated and proven the existence of any such novel coronavirus as a unique pathogen, how on earth can any pharmaceutical company provide a vaccine?

Follow Us!

"Those who can make you believe in absurdities can make you commit atrocities."



Rappoport's excellent article offering proof from the CDC that [Dr Qureshi](#) was correct all along, reads as follows:

Donate Today!

"The CDC document is titled, "CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel." It is dated July 13, 2020.

Buried deep in the document, on page 39, in a section titled, "Performance Characteristics," we have this: "Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA..."

Donate

Currently, joining PSI is free. When you make a donation of the suggested amount of \$25 (any amount will help, though) you will help to sponsor sound, alarmist-free scientific work.

Recent Comments

- Steve on [Proof that the pandemic was planned & with purpose](#)
- Your God will not save you from this, only if we A...
- EJ Ledet on [Even CDC Now Admits No 'Gold Standard' of COVID19 Virus Isolate](#)
- I understand what the author is implying but state...
- Peter F Gill on [Head of Operation Warp Speed Wants to Immunize All Americans by 2021](#)
- Any estimates on how many people will suffer adver...
- Andy Rowlands on [LinkedIn Purging Middle Class Professionals Who Question COVID](#)
- Tony Heller recently said that his Twitter account...
- Alan on [The 'Great Reset': Rule by Unelected 'Experts'](#)
- Eisenhower's comment regularly comes to my mind an...
- Richard on [Operation Moonshot: The UK Government's COVID Calamity](#)
- Thanks Doug.
- Nigel on [LinkedIn Purging Middle Class Professionals Who Question COVID](#)
- I agree, Like so many other professionals LinkedIn...
- geran on [What The Pandemic Has Taught Us About Science](#)
- The media are definitely part of the problem. If t...
- Scouse Billy on [Operation Moonshot: The UK Government's COVID Calamity](#)
- From the article: "...using samples taken recently...
- Carbon Bigfoot on [What The Pandemic Has Taught Us About Science](#)
- VILLGE IDIOT GATES is being interviewed on CNBC SQ...

Every object that exists can be quantified, which is to say, measured. The use of the term "quantified" in that phrase means: the CDC has no measurable amount of the virus, because it is unavailable. **THE CDC HAS NO VIRUS**

Principia Scientific International (PSI) is a not-for-profit community interest association.

A further tip-off is the use of the word "isolates." This means **NO ISOLATED VIRUS IS AVAILABLE**. PRINCIPIA SCIENTIFIC INTERNATIONAL is legally registered in the UK as a company incorporated for charitable purposes. Head Office: 27 Old Gloucester Street, London WC1N 3AX. Telephone: Calls from within the UK: 020 7419 5027. International dialling: (44) 20 7419 5027.

NO ONE HAS AN ISOLATED SPECIMEN OF THE COVID-19 VIRUS.

NO ONE HAS ISOLATED THE COVID-19 VIRUS.

THEREFORE, NO ONE HAS PROVED THAT IT EXISTS.

As if this were not enough of a revelation to shock the world, the CDC goes on to say they are presenting a diagnostic PCR test to detect the virus-that-hasn't-been-isolated...and the test is looking for RNA which is PRESUMED to come from the virus that hasn't been proved to exist.

And using this test, the CDC and every other public health agency in the world are counting COVID cases and deaths...and governments have instituted lockdowns and economic devastation using those case and death numbers as justification.

If people believe "you have the virus but it is not available," and you have the virus except it is buried within other material and hasn't been extracted and purified and isolated, these people believe the moon is made of green cheese.

This is like saying. "We have the 20 trillion dollars, they are contained somewhere in our myriad accounts, we just don't know where." If you don't know where, you don't know you have the money.

"The car keys are somewhere in the house. We just don't where." Really? If you don't know where, you don't know the keys are in the house.

"The missing cruise missile is somewhere in the arsenal, we just don't where." No. If you don't know where, you don't know the missile is in the arsenal.

"The COVID-19 virus is somewhere in the material we have—we just haven't removed it from that material. But we know what it is and we've identified it and we know its structure." **NO YOU DON'T. YOU ASSUME THAT.**

Science is not assumptions.

"But...but...there is a study which says a few researchers in a lab isolated the virus..."

They say they did. But in July, the CDC is saying no virus is available. I guess that means trucks were not available to bring the virus from that lab to the CDC. The trucks were out of gas. It was raining. The bridge was washed out. The trucks were in the shop. Joe, the driver, couldn't find his mask, and he didn't want to leave home without it...

Science is not assumptions. The pandemic is a fraud, down to the root of the poisonous tree."

We encourage readers to get a fuller insight into the monumental fraud played upon us from the expert scientific analysis provided by Saeed Qureshi in three excellent articles here:

- [COVID-19: Vaccine 'Not Possible' For A Virus Not Yet Quantifiable](#)
- [COVID19 'Clinical Trials' & Their Outcomes: Fake Science At Its Best!](#)
- [Our Pharmaceutical Emperors Have No Clothes](#)

Please Donate Below To Support Our Ongoing Work To Expose The Lies About COVID19

About the author: John O'Sullivan *John is CEO and co-founder (with Dr Tim Ball) of Principia Scientific International (PSI). John is a seasoned science writer and legal analyst who assisted Dr Ball in defeating world leading climate expert, Michael 'hockey stick' Mann in the 'science trial of the century'. O'Sullivan is credited as the visionary who formed the original 'Slayers' group of scientists in 2010 who then collaborated in creating the world's first full-volume debunk of the greenhouse gas theory plus their new follow-up book.*

PRINCIPIA SCIENTIFIC INTERNATIONAL, legally registered in the UK as a company incorporated for charitable purposes. Head Office: 27 Old Gloucester Street, London WC1N 3AX.



Please DONATE TODAY To Help Our Non-Profit Mission To Defend The Scientific Method.

Share This:

Twitter

Facebook

Parler

LinkedIn

Print

Email

More

[Trackback](#) from your site.

Comments (11)

Globeinfolive

October 11, 2020 at 9:17 pm | #

[...] [Trackback](#) from your site. [...]

[Reply](#)

EJ Ledet

October 14, 2020 at 5:52 pm | #

I understand what the author is implying but statements of fact need to be corroborated with sensed, verifiable, referenced, traceable evidence/CoV19 RNA standards.

If there is a “Gold RNA standard isolated, filtered, purified from CoV19 and the RT-PCR test developed is able to distinguish CoV19 RNA from all other forms/types of RNA isolated/filtered/extracted /purified from other Corona viruses and/or different organism RNAs, then that CoV19 RNA standard has to be shared with all other testing labs.

The precision (repeatability results within a lab and reproducibility results between labs at 95% confidence) needs to be determined. In addition the accuracy of RT-PCR test (Accuracy needs to be determined by conducting round Robin testing between all participating labs to determine the mean result on a CoV19 RNA sample and the Z number deviation from the mean determined from each participating lab performing the RT-PCR test.

Even CD There also needs to be a designated QA/QC organization which oversees the labs performing RT-PCR testing to assure each lab is certified to perform that test.

Failing to perform any of these criteria, the RT-PCR test and results produced from this standardized test should be considered suspect.

There are three errors associated with any result. Process errors , sampling errors , and method errors. We've discussed method errors only.

Regards,
EJ Chemist/Biochemist
Product Assurance LLC
Bellingham WA

↩ [Reply](#).

Dean Michael Jackson
October 11, 2020 at 9:21 pm | <#>

“Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA...”

↩ [Reply](#).

Dean Michael Jackson
October 11, 2020 at 9:25 pm | <#>

“Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA...”

No, the RNA nucleotide sequences weren’t detected because no software program can ‘see’/detect anything, other than the green fluorescent dye used in the PCR non-test...

No software-based test can identify a sequence of DNA nucleotides...

<https://www.genome.gov/genetics-glossary/Nucleotide>

...as belonging to any particular pathogen, as inadvertently admitted by software programs (FASTA and BLAST being two such widely used software programs)...

<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>

...that search for similarities...

<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>

...when running a Query and Database Sequence

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1734/>

....searching for a match between a database of billions of nucleotide sequences with that of the target nucleotide sequence being amplified. Similarity[1] informs us the target nucleotide can’t be identified (‘seen’) by the software, otherwise there would be a precise match with the database nucleotide sequences.

What the PCR non-test is actually identifying are the numbers of nucleotide sequences amplified in green dye. When amplification is, let’s say, 20x the software gives a negative result because it can’t detect the green-dye.

6 of 10 When amplification is increased to 35x the PCR non-test will give a positive result approximately 5% of the time because it can detect the green dye. At 50x amplification the PCR non-test will give an 75% positivity rate. When

10/11/20 11:36 AM

<https://principia-scientific.com/ny-times-nine-out-of-ten-covid19-tests-are-wrong/>

What the PCR non-test is actually identifying is the green dye(!):

“SYBR® Green I is a commonly used fluorescent dye that binds double-stranded DNA molecules by intercalating between the DNA bases. It is used in quantitative PCR because the fluorescence can be measured at the end of each amplification cycle to determine, relatively or absolutely, how much DNA has been amplified.”

<https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/life-science/molecular-biology/pcr/quantitative-pcr/sybr-green-based-gqpcr.html#:~:text=SYBR%C2%AE%20Green%20I%20is,much%20DNA%20has%20been%20amplified.>

Without the green fluorescent dye, the PCR non-test is incapable of even ‘seeing’/detecting the billions of nucleotide sequences created, let alone ‘see’/detect a particular target nucleotide sequence to determine if similarities exist between the target nucleotide sequence and the databank nucleotide sequences. If the green fluorescent dye is removed from the PCR non-test, the result of the non-test will show 0% positivity 100% of the time!

At my blog, read the articles...

‘House of Cards: The Collapse of the ‘Collapse’ of the USSR’

‘Playing Hide And Seek In Yugoslavia’

Then read the article, ‘The Marxist Co-Option Of History And The Use Of The Scissors Strategy To Manipulate History Towards The Goal Of Marxist Liberation’

Solution

The West will form new political parties where candidates are vetted for Marxist ideology/blackmail, the use of the polygraph to be an important tool for such vetting. Then the West can finally liberate the globe of vanguard Communism.

My blog...

<https://djdnotice.blogspot.com/2018/09/d-notice-articles-article-55-7418.html>

[1] Imagine criminal forensic science requiring only similarities for fingerprint identification! Yeah, just as with the false positive laden PCR test, 100% of the nation would be guilty of a crime every time a fingerprint check was run! Or how about forensic DNA matching requiring only similarities! Imagine running a forensic ballistic test and only looking for similarities in bullet striations! Now one can fully appreciate the fraud behind the PCR non-test.

 [Reply](#)

Joseph Olson

October 11, 2020 at 10:07 pm | <#>

“Operation Warp Speed using CIA Contractor to keep Covid-19 Vaccine Contracts Secret” by Whitney Webb at LastAmericanVagabond(.)com

No conflicts of interest, or insider trading investigations if Advanced Technology International front company can rinse funds and avoid all FOIA requests

 [Reply](#)

Tom O

October 11, 2020 at 11:08 pm | <#>

Even if the article tends to say something different from this article on the internet whose headline says "Money laundering - gold-s... time? Covid-19 can survive on CASH for 28 days, study claims."

The article is from an Australian researcher that has found that SARS-CoV-2 can stay alive on bank notes and touch screen surfaces for up to 30 days. I chuckled when I read that knowing that since no one knows what SARS-CoV-2 is or even IF it is, HOW would they know? But I am sure that research will help the Australian governments continue to move their population back to their original colonial status.

↩ Reply

Dean Michael Jackson
October 12, 2020 at 4:02 am | #

No software-based test can identify a sequence of DNA nucleotides...

<https://www.genome.gov/genetics-glossary/Nucleotide>

...as belonging to any particular pathogen, as inadvertently admitted by software programs (FASTA and BLAST being two such widely used software programs)...

<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>

...that search for similarities...

<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>

...when running a Query and Database Sequence

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1734/>

....searching for a match between a database of billions of nucleotide sequences with that of the target nucleotide sequence being amplified. Similarity[1] informs us the target nucleotide can't be identified ('seen') by the software, otherwise there would be a precise match with the database nucleotide sequences.

What the PCR non-test is actually identifying are the numbers of nucleotide sequences amplified in green dye. When amplification is, let's say, 20x the software gives a negative result because it can't detect the green-dye. When amplification is increased to 35x the PCR non-test will give a positive result approximately 5% of the time because it can detect the green dye. At 50x amplification the PCR non-test will give an 75% positivity rate. When amplification is increased to 60x, the PCR non-test gives 100% positivity.

<https://principia-scientific.com/ny-times-nine-out-of-ten-covid19-tests-are-wrong/>

What the PCR non-test is actually identifying is the green dye(!):

"SYBR® Green I is a commonly used fluorescent dye that binds double-stranded DNA molecules by intercalating between the DNA bases. It is used in quantitative PCR because the fluorescence can be measured at the end of each amplification cycle to determine, relatively or absolutely, how much DNA has been amplified."

<https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/life-science/molecular-biology/pcr/quantitative-pcr/sybr-green-based-gqpcr.html#:~:text=SYBR%C2%AE%20Green%20I%20is,much%20DNA%20has%20been%20amplified.>

Without the green fluorescent dye, the PCR non-test is incapable of even 'seeing'/detecting the billions of nucleotide sequences created, let alone 'see'/detect a particular target nucleotide sequence to determine if similarities exist between the target nucleotide sequence and the databank nucleotide sequences. If the green fluorescent dye is removed from the PCR non-test, the result of the non-test will show 0% positivity 100% of the time!

‘Playing Hide And Seek In Yugoslavia’

Then read the article, ‘The Marxist Co-Option Of History And The Use Of The Scissors Strategy To Manipulate History Towards The Goal Of Marxist Liberation’

Solution

The West will form new political parties where candidates are vetted for Marxist ideology/blackmail, the use of the polygraph to be an important tool for such vetting. Then the West can finally liberate the globe of vanguard Communism.

My blog...

<https://djdnotice.blogspot.com/2018/09/d-notice-articles-article-55-7418.html>

[1] Imagine criminal forensic science requiring only similarities for fingerprint identification! Yeah, just as with the false positive laden PCR test, 100% of the nation would be guilty of a crime every time a fingerprint check was run! Or how about forensic DNA matching requiring only similarities! Imagine running a forensic ballistic test and only looking for similarities in bullet striations! Now one can fully appreciate the fraud behind the PCR non-test.

↩ Reply

Andy Rowlands

October 12, 2020 at 12:41 pm | #

Excellent article John. The mainstream media will totally ignore it, and social media will call it false information.

↩ Reply

Tom

October 12, 2020 at 7:36 pm | #

Just found this article, can someone comment please.

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/science/coronavirus-covid-19-virus-isolate-canada-scientists-mutations-strains-a9399226.html>

↩ Reply

Saeed Qureshi

October 13, 2020 at 12:18 pm | #

The article appears more like a news article than a scientific one. What it appears to describe, based on described assumptions and perceptions, how viruses are being studied. People should try to understand that declaring and/or monitoring pandemic requires monitoring and measuring of the specific virus (in this case, SARS-COV2) in healthy and sick people. This is purely an analytical chemistry subject and not that of medical, virology and/or epidemiology which are the users of the testing or the science of analytical chemistry.

The science of analytical chemistry dictates that the method must be validated for measuring the virus. To develop a valid method one requires a sample of the virus, in sufficient quantities, which at present is not available; hence one cannot have a valid virus test. Therefore, claims of testing for virus at present are simply scientifically invalid. Hope this answer the question. Let me know if you require further detail.

↩ Reply

Just wondering if any viruses have ever been isolated? Or is it just SARS-COV-2 that hasn't been isolated?

[↩ Reply](#)

Leave A Comment

Name *

E-mail *

Website

Submit Comment